	Richard E. Morton (Bar No. 54188) David W. Evans (Bar No. 79466)		
2	Nairi Chakalian (Bar No. 212976) HAIGHT BROWN & BONESTEEL LLP		
3	71 Stevenson Street, 20th Floor San Francisco, California 94105-2981		
4	Telephone: 415.546.7500 Facsimile: 415.546.7505		
5			
6	Attorneys for Defendant FRANKLIN ARDEN, LLC. dba GRAVENS BUSINESS CENTER	TEIN	
7			
8	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA	
10			
11	CRAIG YATES, an individual; and DISABILITY RIGHTS ENFORCEMENT,	Case No. CV 08 3004 EDL	
12	EDUCATION, SERVICES; HELPING) YOU HELP OTHERS, a California public)	ANSWER TO COMPLAINT	
13	YOU HELP OTHERS, a California public) benefit corporation,	Complaint Filed: December 28, 2007	
14	Plaintiffs,		
15	vs.		
16	FRANKLIN ARDEN, LLC. dba GRAVENSTEIN BUSINESS CENTER,		
17	Defendant.		
18	Solomani		
19	COMES NOW, Defendant FRANK	KLIN ARDEN, LLC. dba GRAVENSTEIN	
20	BUSINESS CENTER, and in responding to plaintiffs' complaint herein, admits, denie		
21	and alleges as follows:		
22	1. In response to Paragraph 1,	this responding Defendant lacks sufficier	

- ıt 23 information and belief to either admit or deny the allegations contained within this paragraph, and based upon said lack of information and belief, denies the allegations contained therein.
 - In response to Paragraph 2, this responding Defendant denies the allegations 2. contained within this paragraph.
 - In response to Paragraph 3, this responding Defendant admits the allegations 3.

LAW OFFICES HAIGHT, BROWN & BONESTEEL, L.L.P. Orange County

24

25

26

27

28

DH06-0000013 3425382.1

Case No. CV 08 3004 EDL ANSWER TO COMPLAINT contained within this paragraph.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 4. In response to Paragraph 4, this responding Defendant admits the allegations contained within this paragraph.
- 5. In response to Paragraph 5, this responding Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief to either admit or deny the allegations contained within this paragraph, and based upon said lack of information and belief, denies the allegations contained therein.
- 6. In response to Paragraph 6, this responding Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief to either admit or deny the allegations contained within this paragraph, and based upon said lack of information and belief, denies the allegations contained therein.
- 7. In response to Paragraph 7, this responding Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief to either admit or deny the allegations contained within this paragraph, and based upon said lack of information and belief, denies the allegations contained therein.
- 8. In response to Paragraph 8, this responding Defendant admits only that it has a 90 percent interest in said property.
- 9. In response to Paragraph 9, this responding Defendant admits only that it has a 90 percent interest in said property. In all other respects, this responding Defendant admits the allegations contained within this paragraph.
- 10. In response to Paragraph 10, this responding Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief to either admit or deny the allegations contained within this paragraph, and based upon said lack of information and belief, denies the allegations contained therein.
- 11. In response to Paragraph 11, this responding Defendant admits that Good To Go Military Surplus is a tenant at 7950 Redwood Drive #2, Cotati, California and admits those allegations contained within lines 12 through 19 but denies that the following companies are currently located at the Gravenstein Business Center: Asiana Video,

- 12. In response to Paragraph 12, this responding Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief to either admit or deny the allegations contained within this paragraph, and based upon said lack of information and belief, denies the allegations contained therein.
- 13. In response to Paragraph 13, this responding Defendant denies the allegations contained within this paragraph.
- 14. In response to Paragraph 14, this responding Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief to either admit or deny the allegations contained within this paragraph, and based upon said lack of information and belief, denies the allegations contained therein.
- 15. In response to Paragraph 15, this responding Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief to either admit or deny the allegations contained within this paragraph, and based upon said lack of information and belief, denies the allegations contained therein.
- 16. In response to Paragraph 16, this responding Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief to either admit or deny the allegations contained within this paragraph, and based upon said lack of information and belief, denies the allegations contained therein.
- 17. In response to Paragraph 17, this responding Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief to either admit or deny the allegations contained within this paragraph, and based upon said lack of information and belief, denies the allegations contained therein.
- 18. In response to Paragraph 18, this responding Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief to either admit or deny the allegations contained within this

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 19. In response to Paragraph 19, this responding Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief to either admit or deny the allegations contained within this paragraph, and based upon said lack of information and belief, denies the allegations contained therein.
- 20. In response to Paragraph 20, this responding Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief to either admit or deny the allegations contained within this paragraph, and based upon said lack of information and belief, denies the allegations contained therein.
- 21. In response to Paragraph 21, this responding Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief to either admit or deny the allegations contained within this paragraph, and based upon said lack of information and belief, denies the allegations contained therein.
- 22. In response to Paragraph 22, this responding Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief to either admit or deny the allegations contained within this paragraph, and based upon said lack of information and belief, denies the allegations contained therein.
- 23. In response to Paragraph 23, this responding Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief to either admit or deny the allegations contained within this paragraph, and based upon said lack of information and belief, denies the allegations contained therein.
- 24. In response to Paragraph 24, this responding Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief to either admit or deny the allegations contained within this paragraph, and based upon said lack of information and belief, denies the allegations contained therein.
- 25. In response to Paragraph 25, this responding Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief to either admit or deny the allegations contained within this

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	paragraph, and based upon said lack of information and belief, denies the allegations
2	contained therein.
3	26. In response to Paragraph 26, this responding Defendant lacks sufficient
4	information and belief to either admit or deny the allegations contained within this
5	paragraph, and based upon said lack of information and belief, denies the allegations
6	contained therein.
7	27. In response to Paragraph 27, this responding Defendant denies the
8	allegations contained within this paragraph.
9	28. In response to Paragraph 28, this responding Defendant lacks sufficient
10	information and belief to either admit or deny the allegations contained within this
11	paragraph, and based upon said lack of information and belief, denies the allegations
12	contained therein.
13	29. In response to Paragraph 29, this responding Defendant denies the
14	allegations contained within this paragraph.
15	30. In response to Paragraph 30, this responding Defendant denies the
16	allegations contained within this paragraph.
17	31. In response to Paragraph 31, this responding Defendant denies the
18	allegations contained within this paragraph.
19	32. In response to Paragraph 32, this responding Defendant denies the
20	allegations contained within this paragraph.
21	33. In response to Paragraph 33, this responding Defendant denies the
22	allegations contained within this paragraph.
23	34. In response to Paragraph 34, this responding Defendant denies the
24	allegations contained within this paragraph.
25	35. In response to Paragraph 35, this responding Defendant denies the
26	allegations contained within this paragraph.
27	36. In response to Paragraph 36, this responding Defendant lacks sufficient
28	information and belief to either admit or deny the allegations contained within this
i	5 Case No. CV 08 3004 EDL
&	TATAC ADDOLLA

DH06-0000013

3425382.1

In response to Paragraph 48, this responding Defendant admits the 48. 1 allegations contained within this paragraph. 2 In response to Paragraph 49, this responding Defendant admits that there are 49. 3 specific prohibitions against discrimination set forth in § 302(b)(2)(a) and 42 U.S.C. 4 In all other respects, this responding Defendant denies allegations 5 § 12182(b)(2)(a). contained within said paragraph. 6 In response to Paragraph 50, this responding Defendant denies the 7 50. allegations contained within this paragraph. 8 In response to Paragraph 51, this responding Defendant denies the 9 51. allegations contained within this paragraph. 10 In response to Paragraph 52, this responding Defendant denies the 11 52. allegations contained within this paragraph. 12 In response to Paragraph 53, this responding Defendant denies the 53. 13 allegations contained within this paragraph. 14 In response to Paragraph 54, this responding Defendant denies the 15 54. allegations contained within this paragraph. 16 In response to Paragraph 55, this responding Defendant denies the 55. 17 allegations contained within this paragraph. 18 In response to Paragraph 56, this responding Defendant repleads and 19 56. incorporates by reference, as if fully set forth again herein, the responses contained in 20 Paragraphs 1 through 55 of this answer. 21 In response to Paragraph 57, this responding Defendant admits the 22 57. allegations contained within this paragraph. 23 In response to Paragraph 58, this responding Defendant admits the 58. 24 allegations contained within this paragraph. 25 In response to Paragraph 59, this responding Defendant admits the 26 allegations contained within this paragraph. 27 /// 28

LAW OFFICES
HAIGHT, BROWN &
BONESTEEL, L.L.P.
Orange County

60.

allegations contained within this paragraph.

1

2

LAW OFFICES

BONESTEEL, L.L.P.

Orange County

DH06-0000013

3425382.1

In response to Paragraph 60, this responding Defendant denies the

In response to Paragraph 61, this responding Defendant denies the 61. 3 allegations contained within this paragraph. 4 In response to Paragraph 62, this responding Defendant denies the 5 62. allegations contained within this paragraph. 6 In response to Paragraph 63, this responding Defendant denies the 7 63. allegations contained within this paragraph. 8 In response to Paragraph 64, this responding Defendant denies the 9 64. allegations contained within this paragraph. 10 In response to Paragraph 65, this responding Defendant denies the 65. 11 allegations contained within this paragraph. 12 In response to Paragraph 66, this responding Defendant repleads and 66. 13 incorporates by reference, as if fully set forth again herein, the responses contained in 14 Paragraphs 1 through 65 of this answer. 15 In response to Paragraph 67, this responding Defendant admits the 16 67. allegations contained within this paragraph. 17 In response to Paragraph 68, this responding Defendant denies the 68. 18 allegations contained within this paragraph. -19In response to Paragraph 69, this responding Defendant denies the 69. 20 allegations contained within this paragraph. 21 In response to Paragraph 70, this responding Defendant lacks sufficient 70. 22 information and belief to either admit or deny the allegations contained within this 23 paragraph, and based upon said lack of information and belief, denies the allegations 24 contained therein. 25 In response to Paragraph 71, this responding Defendant denies the 26 allegations contained within this paragraph. 27 /// 28 8 Case No. CV 08 3004 EDL HAIGHT, BROWN &

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

In response to Paragraph 72, this responding Defendant denies the 72. 1 allegations contained within this paragraph. 2 In response to Paragraph 73, this responding Defendant lacks sufficient 3 73. information and belief to either admit or deny the allegations contained within this 4 paragraph, and based upon said lack of information and belief, denies the allegations 5 contained therein. 6 In response to Paragraph 74, this responding Defendant repleads and 74. 7 incorporates by reference, as if fully set forth again herein, the responses contained in 8 Paragraphs 1 through 73 of this answer. 9 In response to Paragraph 75, this responding Defendant lacks sufficient 75. 10 information and belief to either admit or deny the allegations contained within lines 12 11 through 15 of that paragraph and, based upon such lack of information and belief, denies 12 those allegations. This responding Defendant admits that § 51 of the Civil Code provides 13 as alleged in said paragraph. This responding party denies the allegations contained within 14 said paragraph commencing at line 7 through line 11 on page 23. 15 In responding to Paragraph 76, this responding Defendant denies the 76. 16 allegations contained within this paragraph. 17 In response to Paragraph 77, this responding Defendant denies the 77. 18 allegations contained within this paragraph. 19 In response to Paragraph 78, this responding Defendant denies the 78. 20 allegations contained within this paragraph. 21 In response to Paragraph 79, this responding Defendant denies the 22 79. allegations contained within this paragraph. 23 AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES 24 FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 25 26 Plaintiffs' Complaint fails to state a claim against Defendant upon which 80. 27 relief can be granted. 28

LAW OFFICES
HAIGHT, BROWN &
BONESTEEL, L.L.P.
Orange County

Case No. CV 08 3004 EDL ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

81. The Complaint on file herein, and each purported claim for relief stated therein, is barred by the applicable statutes of limitation of the State of California, including but not limited to California *Code of Civil Procedure* Sections 338 and 340, and California Business and Professions Code Section 17208.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

82. Plaintiffs have failed to mitigate their damages and injuries, if any, and such failure to mitigate their proximately caused the alleged damages and injuries, if any.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

83. Plaintiffs, in connection with any and all of the transactions or allegations which are the subject of their Complaint on file herein, have not suffered and will not suffer any damages by reason of the alleged acts or omissions of this answering Defendant, and therefore Plaintiffs have no valid claims against this answering Defendant.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

All events, happenings, injuries, and damages referred to in Plaintiffs' Complaint on file herein, were proximately caused by the negligence and/or fault of plaintiffs and/or other firms, persons, corporations, or entities other than this answering Defendant, or over whom this answering Defendant exercised no jurisdiction or control, and for whose conduct this answering Defendant are not responsible or liable; therefore, if there is found to be any fault on the part of this answering Defendant which in any manner or degree contributed to Plaintiffs' alleged injuries and damages, if any, a finding should be made apportioning and affixing the comparative fault of any and all such persons or parties, whether named in this action or otherwise.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

85. The claims for relief alleged in plaintiffs' Complaint on file herein are barred by reason of the fact that Plaintiffs, upon being fully informed of the acts and events of which they now complain, ratified and affirmed all conduct with respect to those acts and events, and therefore, Plaintiffs have waived all such claims and are estopped from

10
Case No. CV 08 3004 EDL
ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

LAW OFFICES
HAIGHT, BROWN &
BONESTEEL, L.L.P.
Orange County

DH06-0000013 3425382.1 asserting the same against this answering Defendant.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

86. Plaintiffs are barred from recovery herein by reason of the fact that Plaintiffs voluntarily and knowingly entered into and engaged in the transactions and conduct alleged in the Complaint on file herein and voluntarily and knowingly assumed all risk relating to said transactions and conduct at the times and places mentioned in the Complaint on file herein and prior thereto.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

87. Defendant alleges, upon information and belief, that any act or omission on their part made the basis of any purported claim, was excused as it would have fundamentally altered the nature of the services offered at 7950 Redwood Drive, Cotati, California.

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

88. Defendant alleges, upon information and belief, that any act or omission on their part made the basis of any purported claim, was excused as the removal of the barriers described herein, if any, was not readily achievable.

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

89. Defendant alleges, upon information and belief, that any act or omission on their part made a basis of any purported claim, was excused as a result of structural impracticability.

ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

90. Defendant alleges, on information and belief, that some or all of Plaintiffs' claims may be barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrines of *laches*, waiver, estoppel, unclean hands, or other equitable doctrines.

TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

91. Plaintiffs are barred from recovery by reason that, at all times indicated in the Complaint on file herein, this answering Defendant provided reasonable accommodations to handicapped individuals and exercised due diligence in their acts and

activities. 1 THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 2 Defendant alleges, upon information and belief, that given the work 92. 3 requested by Plaintiffs, Defendant's conduct was excused as a result of the undue burden 4 of constructing the requested work at 7950 Redwood Drive, Cotati, California. 5 FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 6 Defendant alleges, upon information and belief, that the Complaint on file 7 93. herein is improperly pled, in that it is more properly brought as a class action lawsuit, not 8 as an individual action. 9 FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 10 Defendant alleges, upon information and belief, that Plaintiffs are not the 94. 11 proper representatives to represent the interests of the general public or those of the 12 disabled public. 13 SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 14 Plaintiffs are barred from recovery by reason of the fact that they improperly 15 95. seek monetary damages and are seeking fluid fund recovery in violation of the law. 16 SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 17 Plaintiffs are barred from recovery of attorneys' fees as they are not a proper 18 96. form of relief in this action as Plaintiffs are not acting in the interests of, or on behalf of, 19 any public interest group. 20 WHEREFORE, Defendant requests that the Court enter a judgment: 21 Dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant with prejudice; 22 a) Declaring that Defendant has no obligation to perform additional work at 23 b) 7950 Redwood Drive, Cotati, California.; 24 25 /// 26 /// /// 27 /// 28 12

LAW OFFICES
HAIGHT, BROWN &
BONESTEEL, L.L.P.
Orange County

DH06-0000013

3425382.1

Case No. CV 08 3004 EDL ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

1	A
1	c) Awarding Defendant his attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements; and
2	awarding such other and further relief to Defendant as the Court deems just,
3	equitable and proper. Dated: August 4, 2008 HAIGHT BROWN & BONESTEEL LLP
4	Dated: August 4, 2008 HAIGHT BROWN & BONESTEEL LLP
5	By: Maire Chakalian
6	Nairi Chakalian
7	Attorneys for Defendant FRANKLIN ARDEN, LLC. dba GRAVENSTEIN BUSINESS CENTER
8	OKA A EMOLEMA DODINGDO CENTER
9	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
10	Defendant Franklin Arden, LLC. dba Gravenstein Business Center demands trial by
11	jury.
12	
13	Dated: August 4, 2008 HAIGHT BROWN & BONESTEEL LLP
14	
15	By: <u>Hairi Chakalian</u> Nairi Chakalian
16 17	Attorneys for Defendant FRANKLIN ARDEN, LLC. dba
18	GRAVENSTEIN BUSINESS CENTER
19	
20	
20	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	13
LAW OFFICES HAIGHT, BROWN & BONESTEEL, L.L.P. Orange County	Case No. CV 08 3004 EDL DH06-0000013 3425382.1 ANSWER TO COMPLAINT